December 5, 2022

Jen Easterly  
Director  
Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
245 Murray Lane  
Washington, DC  20528

Dear Ms. Easterly:

Western Governors appreciate the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency’s (CISA) focus on protecting the cybersecurity of our nation’s critical infrastructure, which is a significant priority for Western Governors. To inform the agency’s work in implementing the Cyber Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act (CIRCIA) of 2022, attached please find WGA Policy Resolution 2022-05, Cybersecurity.

States are responsible for securing public networks, the state’s digital assets, and citizen data, and large-scale cyber incidents have demonstrated the huge risk that cybercrime poses to our systems and residents. Protecting the critical infrastructure within our states requires strategic and functional relationships and information sharing between federal, state, and local levels of government, and the public and private sectors.

In the cybersecurity resolution, Western Governors urge the federal government to take steps to mitigate global supply chain and national critical infrastructure risks. We support incentives for the creation of and participation in programs that encourage information sharing across all levels government, industry verticals, and regions. We also support policies that incentivize the private sector to improve cybersecurity and share information regarding cyber threats as early as possible, including policies to improve access to information or create common standards for information sharing.

The resolution notes that the federal government should emphasize the benefits of information sharing, while alleviating private sector concerns with this essential communication. To help alleviate concerns, the federal government should continue to investigate liability protections, such as safe harbor provisions, for entities that report cyber intrusions.

We are pleased that CISA is addressing the need to understand existing incident reporting requirements, such as state regulations and any areas of overlap, duplication, or conflict with these regulations. In WGA Policy Resolution 2021-02, Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making, Western Governors discuss the importance of consulting with states regarding the collection, stewardship, analysis, and use of data and the need for the federal government to recognize limitations with information sharing posed by state privacy and data stewardship laws.

In addition, we are encouraged that CIRCIA directs CISA to aggregate and analyze cyber incident reports and share that data and those trends back to partners and to offer procedural feedback to secure networks. This statute aligns with the data resolution, in which we encourage federal agencies to ensure that state and local partners have access to timely and reliable federal datasets.
to inform state and local decision-making processes. We urge CISA to consider, in coordination with states, how to best provide this data to states and localities.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please let us know how Western Governors may be of assistance as you contemplate how to implement these requirements and secure our nation’s critical infrastructure.

Sincerely,

Jared Polis
Governor of Colorado
Chair, WGA

Mark Gordon
Governor of Wyoming
Vice Chair, WGA

Attachments
A. BACKGROUND

1. In the age of automation, digitization, big data, artificial intelligence, and machine-to-machine learning, the United States’ capabilities to prevent, detect and respond to cyberattacks are of ever-growing importance to our society. The cybersecurity of our nation is an all-of-government and industry-wide endeavor.

2. Aging information technology (IT) infrastructure and systems pose serious cybersecurity risks and increase vulnerabilities for government and organizations. Due to the longstanding financial and national security implications of prior cybersecurity breaches resulting in data theft and other adverse outcomes, modernizing these systems to help prevent successful cyberattacks and better safeguard our data is imperative.

3. The COVID-19 pandemic has transformed society and accelerated the shift to a virtual environment, further increasing vulnerabilities across systems as threat actors become more complex and widespread. Ransomware attacks, a type of malicious software attack that threatens to publish sensitive information or impedes access to data or computer systems until the victim pays a ransom to the attacker, have grown by 148 percent due to the rise in remote activities. These attacks can shut down public and private sector operations, posing particular challenges to critical infrastructure functions.

4. Cybersecurity is especially imperative for critical infrastructure, which includes the nation’s electric grid, energy resource supply and delivery chains, finance, communications, election systems, the chemical industry, commercial facilities, critical manufacturing, defense industrial base, emergency services, food and agriculture, government facilities, health care and public health, information technology, transportation, and water and wastewater systems. Large-scale cyber incidents, including the SolarWinds and Colonial Pipeline attacks, demonstrate the risk cybercrime now presents to national security.

5. Addressing cybersecurity needs across critical infrastructure sectors is further complicated by the increasing interdependency and interconnectedness of our nation’s data systems to a myriad of non-critical infrastructure systems and a dynamic threat environment. Effective cybersecurity programs require strategic and functional relationships and information sharing between federal, state and local levels of government, and the public and private sectors.

6. The cybersecurity of their states and the nation is a high priority of Western Governors. State governments are responsible for securing public networks, the state’s digital assets, and citizen data, as well as coordinating their cybersecurity efforts with federal agencies and potentially-affected private entities (e.g., utilities, financial institutions, transportation, and health). Governors lead efforts to plan and implement state cybersecurity programs, respond to cyberattacks, and investigate intrusions.
7. National Guard cyber protection teams, serving in 59 cyber units, provide invaluable assistance to states across the country with threat assessment and cyber incident response and remediation. Currently, states can mobilize Guard members through State Active Duty (SAD) and Title 32 of the U.S. Code. Supported by state funds, Governors can activate SAD for disasters or homeland defense, although state constitutions or statutes often constrain deployment of the Guard to state emergencies. Title 32 gives Governors the authority to order the Guard to duty, using federal funds, with the approval of the President or the Secretary of Defense. However, this process can create barriers to rapid and nimble action in the face of cyberattacks. While both of these functions are vital resources, potential exists to further leverage the capabilities of the National Guard for the cybersecurity posture of states.

8. Although state and local governments remain significant targets for cyberattacks, they often lack adequate funding to address these issues and modernize their systems. According to a study by Deloitte and the National Association of State Chief Information Officers, state cybersecurity budgets comprise less than 3 percent of their overall IT budgets.

9. Prior to the passage of Public Law 117-58, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, the Homeland Security Grant Program was the primary federal mechanism to provide cybersecurity funding to state, local, territorial, and Tribal governments. Over the years, less than 4 percent of that funding was allocated to cybersecurity. Such low levels of funding have been insufficient for states to meet their pressing, and rapidly growing, cybersecurity needs. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act sought to address this issue by establishing a much-needed standalone cybersecurity grant program for state and local governments, marking a huge increase in federal support for state and local cybersecurity efforts.

10. The $1 billion program will be administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for four years, with the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) serving in an advisory role. Funding will be distributed to states, tribes, and territories, who must allocate about 80 percent to their localities. States must also meet varying match requirements to share the financial burden and account for cybersecurity costs in their budgets.

11. State election systems remain targets of foreign interference. As Governors, we remain committed to protecting our states’ election systems. There is nothing more fundamental to the enduring success of our American democracy, and we take seriously our responsibility to protect the integrity and security of our elections. This is an imminent national security threat that transcends party lines. This is a matter of protecting and preserving fair elections – the underpinning of our democracy.

12. The Office of Management and Budget and Department of Homeland Security May 2018 Federal Cybersecurity Risk Determination Report and Action Plan concluded that 71 of 96 federal agencies are at risk or high risk of cyber intrusions. It also determined that federal agencies are not equipped to determine how threat actors seek to gain access to their information. This deficiency results in ineffective allocations of the agencies’ limited cyber resources.
13. Currently, there is a severe deficit of cyber workers, especially in government. Our nation cannot defend itself without a well-trained, experienced cyber workforce. The public sector must dedicate resources to “K through gray” cybersecurity education, training, work-based learning and apprenticeships, and recruitment programs and encourage the private sector to do the same through effective policy.

14. While investments in workforce development and human capital are a key component in addressing workforce shortages, states can leverage other tools to meet the scale of these challenges. Technology and innovation will be needed to alleviate workforce strains and keep pace with a wide range of attacks while also reducing burdens associated with operational functions.

B. GOVERNORS’ POLICY STATEMENT

1. Western Governors urge Congress to improve coordination of congressional oversight and legislative activity on cybersecurity, including by reducing the number of committees in Congress that have jurisdiction over this issue.

2. Western Governors support modernizing our systems to be more resilient to minimize vulnerabilities and protect against unauthorized access to information and data theft. We request that FEMA and CISA work collaboratively with Governors in executing the newly created state and local cybersecurity grant program to ensure the funds are administered in a flexible and measurable manner to all states, Tribes, and territories. Designated, flexible, and measurable cybersecurity funding would help ensure that states, Tribes, and territories have resources to build resilient systems and meet growing cybersecurity challenges.

3. The federal government has a responsibility to provide adequate funding for states to meet election security needs. Western Governors encourage Congress and the Administration to work cooperatively with states in developing election security legislation and mandates, and to fully fund implementation.

4. Federal agencies must engage in early, meaningful, substantive, and ongoing consultation with Governors or their designees on all aspects of cybersecurity. Western Governors advise the federal government to clearly define the roles for state representatives in CISA’s recently established Joint Cyber Defense Collaborative.

5. Western Governors recommend that the federal government continue the DHS State, Local, Tribal, and Territorial Engagement Program, which provides cybersecurity risk briefings and resources to Governors and other officials. The Governors also support CISA Central, with which state chief information officers regularly interact.

6. The federal government must continue to clarify the roles and responsibilities of federal agencies in preventing, preparing for, and responding to cyberattacks. Centralized authority, points of contact, and formalized communication pathways are necessary to address increasingly complex threats. In addition, these pathways must occur at each level within government and other organizations.

7. The federal government must also improve agency coordination to use often-constrained security resources more efficiently and harmonize disparate regulations that put an
unnecessary burden on state governments. Western Governors urge Congress to provide appropriations for the Office of the National Cyber Director commensurate with the importance of the office’s position in leading federal coordination efforts.

8. The National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) Cybersecurity Framework and other standards can facilitate effective, consistent, and risk-based decision making in government and industry. Real-world simulations of attacks on critical infrastructure are essential to prepare our nation for potential threats.

9. The federal government should build a stronger international framework for cybercrime and use the full range of economic tools, including travel and financial sanctions, to deter cyberattacks organized, supported, or harbored by nation-states.

10. Western Governors recognize the need for states, Tribes, and territories to work together to address gaps or vulnerabilities in these systems to reduce disruptions. The public sector, particularly the federal government, must take steps to mitigate global supply chain and national critical infrastructure risks (e.g. ransomware) in collaboration with the private sector.

11. Western Governors implore Congress and the Administration to reduce bureaucratic burdens and change restrictive guidance related to deploying the National Guard under USC Title 32 for cybersecurity prevention, detection, and response activities. Clarifying the use of the National Guard for these purposes and streamlining the approval process would improve state capacity to confront cyberattacks, contain threats, and help protect neighboring jurisdictions. Western Governors also support efforts to develop civilian cybersecurity reserves, which help alleviate workforce shortages and augment National Guard forces.

12. The Administration should propose, and Congress should provide, long-term authorization and sufficient appropriations for high-quality cybersecurity education and workforce development programs to grow and sustain the cybersecurity workforce, including those that target underrepresented populations, those that include rotational components to retain personnel, and work-based learning opportunities such as apprenticeships. The federal government should also expand the CyberCorps: Scholarship for Service program and continue to support educational initiatives, such as NIST’s Initiative for Cybersecurity Education and National Centers of Academic Excellence in Cyber Defense.

13. Government and industry should increase the cybersecurity awareness of government and private employees through training and education. Western Governors encourage the federal government to develop a national cybersecurity literacy and awareness campaign to educate citizens about how to stay safe online and prevent effective cyberattacks.

14. Western Governors support incentives for the creation of and participation in programs that encourage information sharing across all levels government, industry verticals, and regions. We also support other policies that incentivize the private sector to improve cybersecurity and share information regarding cyber threats as early as possible, including policies to improve access to information or create common standards for information-sharing. The federal government should emphasize the benefits of information sharing, while alleviating private sector concerns with this essential communication. The federal
government and states should continue to investigate liability protections, such as safe harbor provisions, for entities that report cyber intrusions.

15. Our nation requires innovation in detecting, preventing, and responding to continually evolving cyber threats. More research is required to understand the use of blockchain and encryption by perpetrators and its utility for defense against cyber threats, and address vulnerabilities of other emerging technologies, including connected vehicles and Internet of Things devices. The federal government should provide funding and technical assistance for these and other types of cybersecurity research and development.

C. **GOVERNORS’ MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE**

1. The Governors direct WGA staff to work with congressional committees of jurisdiction, the Executive Branch, and other entities, where appropriate, to achieve the objectives of this resolution.

2. Furthermore, the Governors direct WGA staff to consult with the Staff Advisory Council regarding its efforts to realize the objectives of this resolution and to keep the Governors apprised of its progress in this regard.

*This resolution will expire in December 2024. Western Governors enact new policy resolutions and amend existing resolutions on a semiannual basis. Please consult [http://www.westgov.org/resolutions](http://www.westgov.org/resolutions) for the most current copy of a resolution and a list of all current WGA policy resolutions.*
A. **BACKGROUND**

1. State and federal agencies increasingly rely on quantitative and qualitative data to inform evidence-based policymaking, improve service delivery, more effectively manage resources, improve regulatory enforcement, and more accurately measure program performance and effectiveness.

2. States serve a critical function as a primary sources and stewards of economic, social, geospatial, scientific, technical, and other datasets that support a wide array of federal agencies and programs. State agencies often have the best available science, expertise, and other institutional data resources for purposes of federal decision-making processes.

3. States are both sovereignties and the primary administrators of numerous federal administrative and regulatory programs under a system of cooperative federalism, which distinguishes them from other non-federal sources of data.

4. State agencies also rely on timely access to current and accurate federal datasets to inform their own decision-making processes, develop more effective policy, improve service delivery and public communication, and to administer federally-delegated administrative programs.

5. In addition to federal laws and regulations, state agencies operate under their own privacy and data stewardship laws, regulations, and policies that protect personal and confidential information from public disclosure or other inappropriate use or disclosure. These protections help establish public trust that ultimately improves government effectiveness.

6. Public access to datasets that serve as the basis for federal agency actions promotes transparency and accountability in the decision-making process. Nevertheless, blanket requirements to make publicly available all data considered by federal agencies – particularly if this data consists of raw data provided by states – may infringe upon states’ statutory imperatives to protect personally identifiable and otherwise sensitive information. It may also infringe upon fundamental privacy and data stewardship principles like purpose specification and data minimization. Even where there is no state legal barrier to disclosure of raw data, state agencies may maintain significant reservations about the public release of raw data.

7. The 2019 Federal Data Strategy directs federal agencies to “[e]ffectively, routinely, transparently, and appropriately use data in policy, planning, and operations to guide decision-making [and] share the data and analyses behind those decisions.” Additionally, agencies are directed to “[f]acilitate data sharing between state, local, and tribal governments and the Federal Government, where relevant and appropriate and with
proper protections, particularly for programs that are federally funded and locally administered, to enable richer analyses for more informed decision-making."

8. Improvements in intergovernmental data sharing, stewardship, integration, protection, and utilization will require robust federal investments in a modern data infrastructure, technology, and training.

B. **GOVERNORS’ POLICY STATEMENT**

1. State data serves a critical role in the successful implementation of a variety of federal programs and in federal agencies’ fulfillment of their statutory missions and directives.

2. Subject to state laws and other requirements for data protection and transparency, federal agencies should be required to incorporate state and local data and expertise into their analysis and decision-making processes. This data should include geospatial, scientific, technical, economic, social, and other information relevant to issues the agency is trying to address.

3. Congress and the Executive Branch should look to states and state agencies as partners – rather than ordinary stakeholders – in the collection, stewardship, analysis, and use of data to inform federal decision-making processes. Federal agencies should recognize the existence and limitations of state privacy and data stewardship laws, regulations, and policies and work with states to develop strategies that encourage effective state-federal data sharing while appropriately protecting data according to state law.

4. State data – particularly non-aggregated raw data – is subject to differing levels of protection under various state laws, regulations, and policies. Western Governors encourage Congress and federal agencies to recognize the limitations on complete transparency of state data in federal decision making and to work with states to identify ways in which protected data can inform federal decision-making processes without conflicting with applicable state laws, regulations, or policies.

5. Federal agencies should consult with states – on a government-to-government basis – in the development and implementation of policies, programs, and strategies to more effectively and consistently incorporate state data into federal decision making, including implementation of applicable federal statutes and programs, as well as the Federal Data Strategy and development of annual Federal Data Strategy Action Plans.

6. Federal agencies should also consult with states to ensure that state and local partners have access to timely and reliable federal datasets for purposes of informing state and local decision-making processes.

7. Congress and the Executive Branch should support, and work with state toward, the modernization of our nation’s data infrastructure and intergovernmental data-sharing and analysis capabilities. Data infrastructure should be based on best practices for data stewardship and must properly protect personal and confidential information in accordance with state and federal law. Federal agencies should consult with states to develop guidelines for intergovernmental data-sharing agreements and other protocols that include commitments to fundamental privacy and data stewardship principles like purpose specification and data minimization.
8. Federal agencies should work with state and local partners to develop uniform data standards, where appropriate, to maximize data quality and facilitate intergovernmental data use, access, sharing, and interoperability.

9. Western Governors support congressional efforts to broaden statutory exemptions under the Freedom of Information Act to protect personally identifiable and sensitive state-shared data from disclosure.

10. Western Governors urge the Executive Branch to develop uniform privacy and data stewardship policies based on best practices and uniform interpretations of federal privacy and data stewardship laws, regulations, policies, and other directives applicable to data received from states, as well as other non-federal sources.

C. GOVERNORS' MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE

1. The Governors direct WGA staff to work with congressional committees of jurisdiction, the Executive Branch, and other entities, where appropriate, to achieve the objectives of this resolution.

2. Furthermore, the Governors direct WGA staff to consult with the Staff Advisory Council regarding its efforts to realize the objectives of this resolution and to keep the Governors apprised of its progress in this regard.

This resolution will expire in December 2023. Western Governors enact new policy resolutions and amend existing resolutions on a semiannual basis. Please consult http://www.westgov.org/resolutions for the most current copy of a resolution and a list of all current WGA policy resolutions.