

March 30, 2021

The Honorable Deb Haaland Secretary U.S. Department of the Interior 1849 C Street NW Washington, DC 20240

Dear Secretary Haaland:

On behalf of the Western Governors' Association (WGA), we are writing to congratulate you on your confirmation to serve as the Secretary of the Interior (DOI). We look forward to working with you in your new capacity as one of the chief lands management officers of the United States.

WGA represents the Governors of the 22 westernmost states and U.S. territories. The association is an instrument of the Governors for bipartisan policy development, information exchange and collective action on issues of critical importance to the western United States.

WGA is deeply interested in, and has adopted substantive and detailed policies regarding, activities within DOI's jurisdiction. The purpose of this communication is to introduce you to those policies and to encourage a close and productive working relationship. Western states are eager to work closely with you as authentic partners in the design and execution of programs and policies that affect our constituents and implicate state authority.

It is difficult to understate the influence DOI has on western states. The Bureau of Land Management is the single largest owner of lands in the West, managing one-eighth of the entire nation's landmass. The National Park Service manages many of the West's most scenic places, and its national parks and monuments serve as magnets for recreation and tourism throughout our states. The Fish and Wildlife Service manages wildlife refuges and administers the Endangered Species Act. The Bureau of Reclamation manages significant water resources in the West, and serves as the largest water wholesaler in the country. The U.S. Geological Survey is the nation's largest water, earth, and biological science and civilian mapping agency and provides crucial information to assist states with wildfire restoration, habitat improvements and land use planning.

We also appreciate DOI's leadership in the Wildland Fire Leadership Council (WFLC), an intergovernmental committee dedicated to the consistent implementation of wildland fire policies, goals, and management activities. WFLC's goals are to ensure effective and efficient wildfire management, promote fire-adapted communities and create resilient landscapes to achieve long-range benefits for society and nature. It also provides leadership and oversight for the implementation of the National Cohesive Wildland Fire Management Strategy, a national vision for wildland fire management in the United States. WFLC has proved an effective platform to bring together multiple federal agencies, states, Tribes, and local governments to discuss the challenges of wildfire restoration coordination and address the needs of wildfire affected communities.

The attachment to this letter presents a high-level summary of select gubernatorial priorities within your jurisdiction. We hope you will take time to review this information and remember that

The Honorable Deb Haaland March 30, 2021 Page 2

Western Governors are enthusiastic partners with respect to these and other issues. Moreover, we expect to be consulted throughout the decision making and rulemaking processes of the Department.

One of Western Governors' foundational policy statements is WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, *Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship* (attached). The resolution notes that "[e]ach Executive department and agency should have a clear and accountable process to provide each state – through its Governor or their designees – with *early, meaningful, substantive, and ongoing consultation* in the development of federal policies that affect states." (emphasis added). We submit that such consultation should commence immediately, both with respect to departmental policies under review and those under development.

Congratulations again on your appointment. We look forward to working with you to realign the state-federal relationship to better serve our common constituencies.

Sincerely

Kate Brown Governor of Oregon Chair, WGA

Attachments

Brad Little

Brad Little Governor of Idaho Vice Chair, WGA



# Department of the Interior Summary of Priorities

This document outlines Western Governors' priorities for the Department of the Interior. It is comprised of positions adopted by Western Governors in WGA policy resolutions.

Priority	Governors' Policy
State-Federal Relationship	
States are Sovereigns Ensure that states are not treated as equivalent to stakeholders, interested parties, public or private organizations, industry, or the public by federal agencies. Rather, states should be treated as sovereign entities and engaged in a government-to-government manner.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Preemption Explicitly state that preemption is disfavored and require agencies to specify where preemption is warranted. In such cases, agencies must provide affected states notice and an opportunity to participate in proceedings at which the agency must demonstrate the preemption of state authority is needed to accomplish a national purpose.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
<ul> <li>Consultation</li> <li>Seek opportunities for more meaningful consultation through: <ul> <li>agency-specific processes;</li> <li>Executive Branch cross-cutting regulatory efforts; and</li> <li>administrative reorganization.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Require federal agencies to consult with states on agency reorganization and regulatory reform.</li> <li>Consult with states on a regular basis: as a predicate to federal action; through the pre-publication stage of rulemaking; after publication and before adoption of rules and regulations; and on an ongoing basis throughout implementation.</li> <li>Define "consultation" to: <ul> <li>Include early, meaningful, substantive, ongoing, government-to-government communication and exchange with states through Governors or their designees.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship June 19, 2020 <u>comments</u> to EPA: Proposed Rule Governing Administrative Procedures for Issuance of Agency Guidance Documents
<ul> <li>Require procedures separate from and beyond the stakeholder or public process.</li> <li>Clarify that notice and comment rulemaking procedures do not satisfy agencies' requirements to consult with states where required by law.</li> </ul>	

Priority	Governors' Policy
Require all federal departments and agencies, including independent regulatory agencies, to codify in regulation a clear, consistent, and accountable process for state consultation on policies with federalism implications. Such processes should include a remedy for states where agencies fail to do so.	
<ul> <li>These regulations should also require:</li> <li>Federal agencies to provide written notification to and an invitation to consult with Governors of all potentially affected states (or their designees) of policies with federalism implications within the area affected by the proposed federal action.</li> <li>Federal agencies to provide procedures for written response to Governors' or their designees' input prior to a final federal decision.</li> <li>Federal agency decision-makers to hold regular, ongoing consultation meetings with Governors or their designees regarding policies with federalism implications.</li> </ul>	
Cooperating Agency Status Better define "cooperating agency" under NEPA processes.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-15</u> , Modernizing Western Infrastructure
<ul> <li>Rulemaking</li> <li>Prior to promulgation of a rule with federalism implications, require federal agencies to: <ul> <li>ensure that new funds sufficient to pay the direct costs incurred by the state in complying with the regulation are provided by the federal government; and</li> <li>provide OMB with a description of the extent of agency's consultation with states, a summary of their input, the agency's response to that input, and any written communications submitted by states.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provide an opportunity for Governors or their designees to review agencies' regulatory agendas.</li> </ul>	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Non-legislative Rulemaking/Guidance Require agencies to consult with affected states prior to issuing guidance documents with federalism implications – including memoranda, directives, notices, bulletins, manuals, handbooks, opinions, and letters. Require agencies to develop a transparent and accountable process for determining whether a proposed agency action requires notice-and- comment rulemaking procedures prescribed under Section 553 of the Administrative Procedures Act.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship

Priority	Governors' Policy
Require agencies to publish all existing guidance documents at a single location on their agency's website and publish new and rescissions of guidance documents at the same location on the date they are issued.	
Consistency and Avoidance of Conflicts Require federal agencies to make all reasonable efforts to achieve consistency and avoid conflicts between federal and state objectives, plans, policies, and programs; and address and resolve all issues and concerns raised by states, unless precluded by federal law.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
State Data Require agencies to incorporate state and local data and expertise, subject to existing state requirements for data protection and transparency, into their decisions. This data should include scientific, technical, economic, social, and other information on the issue the agency is trying to address.	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship WGA Policy Resolution 2021-02, Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
<ul> <li>Perceived Barriers to the State-Federal Relationship</li> <li>Require agencies to revise or establish their <i>ex parte</i> rules or policies in accordance with current case law, which permits these communications in informal rulemaking proceedings; and/or exempt communications with states and state officials from the definition of <i>ex parte</i> communications.</li> <li>Exempt all meetings held exclusively between federal personnel and nonfederal elected officials (or their designees) acting in their official capacities or in areas of shared intergovernmental responsibilities or administration from FACA.</li> <li>Create statutory exceptions to FOIA disclosure for state data and analysis in instances where publication of state data provided to federal agencies would be violation of existing state statutes.</li> <li>Investigate and develop solutions for other barriers to state-federal communication presented by FOIA.</li> </ul>	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship WGA Policy Resolution 2021-02, Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Unfunded Mandates Reform Act Eliminate the \$100 million threshold for the application of the UMRA to federal intergovernmental mandates. Require agencies to incorporate state government input and data, including social and economic data, in their qualitative and quantitative assessment of anticipated costs and benefits of qualifying rules under the UMRA. Strengthen the consultation requirements for federal intergovernmental mandates.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making

Priority	Governors' Policy
Authorize a court to compel substantive, meaningful consultation with elected officers of state governments if an agency fails to develop or implement the effective process under the UMRA.	
State Participation on Federal Boards Require agencies to assure state participation in relevant federal science boards by requiring the selection of state scientists to serve on science- based groups informing federal regulation.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Settlement Negotiations Where their roles and responsibilities are impacted, states should be meaningfully consulted during settlement negotiations, including negotiations aimed at avoiding, rather than resolving, litigation. Provide notice to affected Governors' offices and give co-regulating states opportunities to participate in the proceedings. Where legally permissible, that right should extend to federal agencies' settlement negotiations impacting state environmental and natural resource management prerogatives.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
<b>Cost/Benefit Analyses</b> Seek mandatory use of a refined model for federal land management agencies' economic impact and cost/benefit analyses designed in conjunction with affected states and counties.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
<ul> <li>Streamlining</li> <li>Coordinate, streamline, and increase the flexibility of infrastructure planning and permitting guidelines, rules, and policies to: <ul> <li>allow for timely decision-making in the design, financing, and construction of needed infrastructure;</li> <li>account for regional differences;</li> <li>balance economic and environmental considerations;</li> <li>minimize costs.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	WGA Policy Resolution 2015-08,Water Resource Management in the WestWGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal RelationshipWGA Policy Resolution 2018-15, Modernizing Western Infrastructure
Preemption Require agencies to develop step-by-step internal guidelines on compliance with the preemption provisions of Executive Order 13123. Require internal oversight procedure by which agency scrutinizes potential preemptions of state authority.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship

Priority	Governors' Policy
Improve preemption and federalism review requirements in OIRA's "A-4	
Circular" checklist.	
Biosecurity and Invasive Species	l
Wild Horses and Burros	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-05,
	Wild Horse and Burro
Western Governors recognize the "Path Forward for Management of BLM's	Management
<u>Wild Horses and Burros</u> <sup>27</sup> and BLM's " <u>Analysis of Achieving a Sustainable</u>	MCA Deline Deservation 2021.02
<u>Wild Horse and Burro Program</u> " as examples of sensible alternatives to current wild horse and burro management practices.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal
current with horse and burro management practices.	Decision Making
Ensure populations are managed within Appropriate Management Levels to	
promote horse and burro herd health, species conservation and recovery,	
and habitat as well as forage vitality for wild and domestic species.	
Monitoring data on herd populations and Herd Management Area habitat	
conditions should be collected and used by BLM and USFS to inform herd	
management plans, AMLs and wild horse management. In states that do	
their own monitoring, BLM and USFS should coordinate with those states to	
obtain and use the states' data.	
Research and other efforts to improve fertility control should be expedited.	
HMA plans should use adaptive management to allow for responsive and	
timely adjustments in management if AML herd thresholds are exceeded.	
Gathering and adoption or other appropriate herd reduction approaches	
should continue and be expanded by BLM including, where partnership	
opportunities exist, with local governments, state governments, tribes, other	
federal agencies, livestock producers, private landowners, wildlife and	
sportsmen groups, conservation groups, and others.	
Data related to wild horse and burro management and populations is not	
easily accessible and generally not published in a timely manner. Federal	
agencies should remedy this problem so data can be used in management	
decisions and in educational materials.	
Quagga and Zebra Mussels	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2019-06</u> ,
	Biosecurity and Invasive Species
Direct DOI to implement mandatory inspection and decontamination of all	Management
high-risk watercraft leaving waterbodies under their jurisdiction infested	
with quagga and zebra mussels and provide DOI agencies with both the	Biosecurity and Invasive Species
resources and statutory authority required to implement these actions.	Initiative <u>Special Report</u>
The NPS and BLM, should be vested with clear authority to manage	
watercraft upon their departure from infested waterbodies under federal	
jurisdiction.	
Invasive Species	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2019-06</u> ,
•	Biosecurity and Invasive Species
	Management

Priority	Governors' Policy
Support state, territorial, and tribal invasive species prevention, control and management programs and redouble efforts on federal lands.	Biosecurity and Invasive Species Initiative <u>Special Report</u>
Build a more sophisticated and centralized biosecurity and invasive species management network, including a National Biosecurity and Invasive Species Management Center based on the model of the NIFC.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Ensure that invasive species funding, including support for emergency response, is sustainable, flexible and able to be maximized by federal, state and local agencies with pooled resources and collaborative funding mechanisms.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland Management
Federal funding, cooperative agreements grants, and procurement contracts for state and local biosecurity and invasive species management should be structured in a deliberate and transparent way that allows for the greatest amount of flexibility and long-term planning.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-04</u> , Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
Federal agencies should look for collaborative projects and funding opportunities that multiply state resources and support state-led biosecurity and invasive species management projects.	
Expand the use of cooperative agreements with state and local governments and should ensure that they are approved in a timely manner and in collaboration with implementing state agencies.	
Support state biosecurity and invasive species management efforts by ensuring the timely approval of state permits for biosecurity, quarantine, biocontrol, and rapid response actions.	
Consult with Governors early and substantively regarding biosecurity or invasive species management decisions that affect state resources and state actions.	
Identify individuals within district and region offices that can be contacted and assist in the planning and implementation of local cross-boundary invasive species management programs.	
Increase the use of innovative biosecurity prevention and detection programs, including increased use of electronic manifesting in interstate shipments for the purposes of inspection, and the use of canine detection resources.	
<ul> <li>The Executive Branch can support state-led rapid response programs by:</li> <li>increasing federal funding for state-led aquatic invasive species rapid response programs, including those that provide mechanisms for flexible, long-term support of state early detection rapid response efforts;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>streamlining federal permitting and approval processes for treatment and management actions for new mussel detections;</li> <li>creating a single federal authority for aquatic invasive species treatment permitting and approval in freshwater systems; and</li> </ul>	

Priority	Governors' Policy
• simplifying reporting on new invasive mussel infestations by creating a single federal point of contact for new mussel detections.	
USDA quarantines and commodity inspections should incorporate the priorities of the West, including noncontiguous states and territorial islands in the western region.	
U.S. Coast Guard and the Environmental Protection Agency should consult with Western Governors and work closely and collaboratively with states on the implementation of the Vessel Incidental Discharge Act.	
Encourage funding and economic incentives for proactive, voluntary conservation efforts to obviate the need for listing a species under the ESA. Activities should include management of invasive species adversely affecting species and habitat.	
Broadband Connectivity	
Broadband	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2020-08</u> , Broadband Connectivity
Recognize that the current definition of broadband – 25/3 Mbps – does not correspond with the requisite download and upload speeds necessary to support many business, education and health care applications that promote economic and community prosperity. Support efforts to adopt a higher, scalable standard that more accurately reflects modern innovations	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
and bandwidth demands.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2020-06</u> , Western Agriculture
The FCC, USDA and other federal agencies involved in broadband deployment should pursue strong partnerships with Governors and state agencies. Improved coordination related to broadband coverage data collection and verification and public investment can help ensure that public	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2020-07</u> , Rural Development
funds are directed to areas in most need of assistance.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2020-05</u> , Physical and Behavioral Health
BLM, BIA and USFS should pursue strategies to prioritize reviews for broadband infrastructure permits on federal lands. Support efforts to	Care in Western States
improve permitting timelines for broadband infrastructure co-located with existing structures and other linear infrastructure, such as roads, transmission lines and pipelines. Encourage improved planning and permitting coordination between public lands management agencies, as telecommunications projects in western states can cross multiple federal lands jurisdictions.	October 25, 2019 <u>comments</u> to USDA: ReConnect Program eligibility criteria
Address application barriers for businesses, local governments, cooperatives, Tribes and other entities involved with broadband deployment in rural communities.	
Encourage the FCC and USDA to engage with Governors' offices, state broadband representatives and state departments of agriculture as they pursue policy and program initiatives to support advanced agriculture technology development and adoption.	

Priority	Governors' Policy
Allocate adequate wireless spectrum to support advanced and emerging agricultural technologies.	
Promote investment in rural IXPs via applicable broadband deployment programs.	
Continue expanding the eligibility of electric and telephone cooperatives to pursue USDA and FCC broadband deployment program support, as cooperatives' existing infrastructure and access to rights-of-way can help promote low-cost connectivity solutions for rural communities.	
Pursue policy, programmatic and fiscal opportunities to improve broadband connectivity on Tribal lands. This includes designing federal programs in a way that promotes partnerships between Tribes, states and various broadband providers. We recommend that federal broadband programs allocate a designated portion of their available funding to supporting projects on Tribal lands.	
Leverage community anchor institutions in rural communities to spur connectivity to surrounding areas. Support efforts to advance "to and through" policies that provide flexibility to incentivize additional private or public broadband infrastructure investment beyond connected community anchor institutions.	
Encourage USDA to address the ReConnect Program eligibility criteria related to areas designated to receive satellite support through the FCC's CAF-II auction. This will enable many communities to pursue ReConnect connectivity solutions that will support increased data transmission needs into the future.	
Request that FCC, USDA and other federal entities prioritize scalable broadband infrastructure investments that meet communities' increased bandwidth demands into the future.	
Support efforts to promote flexibility within the FCC's E-Rate Program in order to deliver home connectivity solutions for unserved and underserved students, and respond to connectivity issues associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. Encourage the FCC to support bus wi-fi and other creative efforts that seek to address the homework gap.	
Energy	
Transmission Siting	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-04</u> ,
Advance efficient environmental review, siting, and permitting processes that facilitate energy development and the improvement and construction of necessary electric grid (transmission and distribution) and pipeline infrastructure, while ensuring environmental and natural resource protection.	Energy in the West <u>Energy Vision for the West</u> WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-15</u> , Modernizing Western Infrastructure
Encourage responsible leasing and development of energy resources and infrastructure.	

Priority	Governors' Policy
Create a clear and transparent process for regulation and permitting, coordinated among well-trained and adequately funded federal, state and local agencies.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Streamline project-permitting reviews to minimize timelines, without compromising environmental and natural resource protection or states' roles in those processes. Maintain state and local decision-making authority over transmission line siting and permitting.	September 26, 2018 <u>letter</u> to DOE: state consultation on 2019 Congestion Study
Encourage regional transmission planning organizations to conduct interconnection-wide planning with the full participation of the states and with consideration of state energy policies.	
Create functional partnerships among states, federal agencies, tribal governments and local jurisdictions to solve conflicts that hinder energy infrastructure and resource development.	
Increase cooperation on interstate projects through interstate compacts and other tools.	
<ul> <li>In the West-wide energy corridor process, ask federal agencies to guarantee:</li> <li>ongoing, substantive, and meaningful state consultation;</li> <li>consideration of state plans, processes, priorities, and policies; and</li> <li>integration of other streamlining efforts.</li> </ul>	
Ensure DOE consults with states on the 2019 Congestion Study pursuant to the Federal Power Act.	
State Authority / Energy Development Agencies should work collaboratively with states when considering	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
regulations that would affect development of oil, natural gas, coal, solar, wind, geothermal or other energy resources on federal land or Indian land. When a state is meeting the minimum requirements of a delegated program, the role of federal agencies should be limited to the provision of funding, technical assistance and research support. States should be free to develop implementation and enforcement approaches that make sense within their jurisdictions, without intervention by the federal government.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-04</u> , Energy in the West
State Authority Redundant federal regulation of energy development, transport, and use is	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
not required where sufficient state, territorial, or flag island regulations exist. Existing state authority should not be replaced or impeded by Congress or federal agencies.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-04</u> , Energy in the West
	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-12</u> , Water Quality in the West

Priority	Governors' Policy
	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-08</u> , Water Resource Management in the West
	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-05</u> , Air Quality and Methane Emissions Regulation
	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Environment	
Environmental Review	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-15</u> , Modernizing Western
Increase bottom-up coordination among agencies, state and local governments and foster collaboration among diverse stakeholders and	Infrastructure
project proponents to create efficiency and predictability in the NEPA process.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Identify and eliminate inconsistencies in environmental review and analysis across agencies to make the NEPA process more efficient.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal
Allow for existing state environmental review processes to supplement and inform federal environmental review under NEPA. Encourage joint reviews	Decision Making
with the states where possible.	March 2, 2020 <u>comments</u> to CEQ: NEPA Proposed Rule
Consider and apply peer-reviewed environmental science in a consistent manner across agencies as each undertake their NEPA reviews of different projects' impacts on and contributions to environmental quality. Work directly with states to obtain and use up-to-date state data and analyses as	August 3, 2018 <u>comments</u> to CEQ: NEPA Regulation Revisions
critical sources of information in the NEPA process.	October 10, 2018 <u>letter</u> to White House, CEQ, USACE, EPA:
Clarify that state, local and tribal governments, as well as their political subdivisions, have unique and critical duties to serve their citizens and	clarification of the Administration's One Federal
should not be considered ordinary "stakeholders" for purposes of the NEPA process. Federal agencies should be required to engage with states and state agencies in early, meaningful, substantive and ongoing consultation.	Decision Policy and Section 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act
Promulgate regulations establishing consultation procedures and clarifying states' roles as cooperating agencies, which include the opportunity to review documents and alternatives prior to the public comment period.	
Ensure that Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) and Environmental Assessments (EAs) fulfill state environmental review requirements in addition to, but not in conflict with, NEPA and are consistent with state, local, and tribal plans and laws to the maximum extent possible. Where inconsistency or conflict between state and federal requirements necessarily occurs, require federal agencies to include the agency's rationale and the steps taken to mitigate inconsistency or conflict to the maximum extent	

Priority	Governors' Policy
Invite all qualified state governmental entities to participate in the NEPA process as cooperating agencies for both EISs and EAs, while providing flexibility for those entities to decline the invitation.	
Provide a standard for, documentation requirements pertaining to, and review of a lead agency's denial of, a request for cooperating agency status. The denial of any bona fide request for cooperating agency status should be accompanied by a clear and thorough explanation from the lead agency denying such request, citing specific factors the agency used in its determination. Such information should be recorded and maintained by the lead federal agency and collected by the Office of Management and Budget.	
Simplify the definition of cooperating agency and clarify that cooperating agency status extends until an EIS or EA is implemented.	
Land Management	
<b>Cross-Boundary Projects</b> Strive to find new ways to collaborate on forest and rangeland management projects, as well as to explore ways to improve state-federal coordination on existing management projects.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland Management WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-04</u> ,
Work to support effective collaboration on federal projects and all-lands initiatives.	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04, Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01,
Look to local communities as a source of strength, knowledge, and support during the planning and implementation of forest and rangeland management projects.	Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Work with local communities while planning forest and rangeland management projects.	
Establish multi-agency pilot projects, which can suggest models for subsequent formal agreements.	
Strive to identify business practice barriers to cross-boundary projects.	
Target funding from USFS, BLM, the NRCS and state sources to address cross-boundary management goals (and support monitoring and assessment frameworks) in priority areas. Projects using this targeted funding should be consistent with State Forest Action Plans, wildlife action plans, community wildfire protection plans, and projects in other priority areas determined by federal, state, local and tribal partners based on the best available science.	
Grazing Improve flexibility and integration of grazing management and targeted grazing as tools to achieve restoration and land management goals.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland Management

Priority	Governors' Policy
Promote grazing allotment flexibility on federal lands, within FWS and BLM permitting systems and across ownership boundaries, to respond to changing range conditions and environmental considerations.	
Engage in meaningful consultation, coordination and cooperation with livestock grazing permittees, state and local governments, tribes, and stakeholders, prior to initiation and throughout the entire permit renewal process.	
Restoration and Active Management	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-03,
Strive to find ways to support and expand critical forest and rangeland management infrastructure, including mills, biomass facilities, and roads.	National Forest and Rangeland Management
Find ways to support and expand cost-effective means of supplying restoration projects, such as with large woody material from adjacent overstocked forests.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-04</u> , Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
Work to ensure that wood product producers have increased certainty of supply, as well as a broader suite of outlets, in addition to traditional sawmills and existing biomass facilities.	November 24, 2020 <u>letter</u> to USFS, DOI: wildfire restoration should have equal priority to mitigation, suppression
Expanding the use of spatially complex restoration treatment.	
Support the research needed for responsible and effective forest and rangeland management in the West and ensure that public research projects are focused on research that supports on the ground management needs.	
Support USFS Research Stations.	
Encourage funding and economic incentives for proactive, voluntary conservation efforts to obviate the need to list species under the ESA. Funded and Incentivized activities should include restoration of native habitat on public and private lands.	
Prescribed Fire	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> ,
Expand the use of prescribed fire and should look for ways to reduce the statutory and regulatory barriers to its expanded use on western forests and rangelands.	National Forest and Rangeland Management
Identify reforms that reduce barriers to prescribed fire and reduce overall health impacts from smoke.	
Improve interagency use of smoke management best practices.	
Examine liability protection for fire managers and compensation for private property owners negatively affected by escaped prescribed burns.	
Increase workforce capacity for prescribed fire activities, as well as science- based vegetation management activities, oversight and planning.	

Priority	Governors' Policy
Identify ways to increase the cultural acceptance of the use of prescribed fire in the West.	
Incorporate Native American cultural burning and tribal practices more effectively into federal and state planning management processes.	
As necessary, utilize incident command teams for prescribed fires in a manner similar to suppression fires.	
Wildfire	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> ,
Look for ways to further incorporate local fire protective associations into regional wildfire dispatch and coordination centers.	National Forest and Rangeland Management
Pair the Wildfire Hazard Potential index and map with spatial data for use at the community level.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-08</u> , Water Resource Management in the West
Modernize the wildland fire service and adapt it for the West's increasingly long and intense fire seasons.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-03</u> , Federal Disaster Recovery
Examine reliance on 1039 seasonal staff, shift a higher percentage of wildland fire staff from seasonal to permanent and permanent subject to furlough positions, and evaluate policies related to the use of Administratively Determined emergency firefighters.	Assistance for Communities in the West
Implement hazard pay for federal firefighters performing prescribed fire operations.	
Ensure that incident command teams have adequate access to training and preparedness activities and are, as necessary, utilized for prescribed fires in a manner similar to suppression fires.	
Seek opportunities, including revisions to forest plans, to enhance safety and reduce costs in suppression decisions while protecting communities.	
Create incentives for local governments to take voluntary actions to support the creation and expansion of fire-adapted and smoke-ready communities and resilience, including the promotion of education, fuels management projects and improved integration of community wildfire protection plans with land use decisions when compatible with local goals.	
Environmental Review	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> ,
Engage with Governors and states in early, meaningful, and substantive consultation throughout the NEPA process.	National Forest and Rangeland Management
	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-15</u> , Modernizing Western Infrastructure
	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01,

Priority	Governors' Policy
	Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Alternatives, Significance Thresholds, Extraordinary Circumstances, and Categorical Exclusions (CE)	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland Management
Western Governors support allowing federal agencies to analyze only the action and no-action alternatives when a project is collaboratively developed, unless a third alternative is proposed during scoping and meets the purpose and need of the project.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-15</u> , Modernizing Western Infrastructure
Strengthen Farm Bill Authorities	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> ,
Expand the use of GNA agreements and other 2018 Farm Bill tools to achieve all-lands restoration objectives across federal, state, local	National Forest and Rangeland Management
government and privately-owned lands. Use GNA authority and program income to support additional stewardship	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-04</u> , Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
objectives such as invasive species management and rangeland conifer encroachment.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal
Where programmatic agreements are already in place, use GNA agreements to address priority restoration needs.	Relationship
Examine ways to further utilize GNA and SCA to improve vegetation management in the West.	
PILT and SRS Funding	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2020-03</u> , Tax-Exempt Federal Lands and
Honor historic agreements with states and counties in the West to compensate them for state and local impacts associated with federal land	Secure Rural Schools
use and federally owned, nontaxable lands within their borders, such as the PILT and SRS programs.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland Management
Collaboration	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> ,
Expand opportunities for state and local collaborative involvement in decision-making processes.	National Forest and Rangeland Management
Provide funding and support to states for cost incurred during in their role as conveners of collaborative interagency forest and rangeland management efforts.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-04</u> , Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
Striving to identify business practice barriers to cross-boundary projects.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Develop training on state and federal contracting procedures and administration for all partners to improve implementation of cross-boundary projects.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making

Priority	Governors' Policy
Utilize Service First authorities, which allow multiple agencies to partner to	
share resources, procurement procedures and other authorities.	
Streamline and consolidating agency processes with partners.	
Streamme and consolidating agency processes with partners.	
Establish multi-agency pilot projects, which can suggest models for subsequent formal agreements.	
Reward successful implementation of collaborative projects through funding, retained-receipt authority, or other capacity to pursue subsequent projects.	
Strive to find new ways to collaborate on forest and rangeland management projects, as well as to explore ways to improve state-federal coordination on existing management projects.	
Work to support effective collaboration on federal projects and all-lands initiatives.	
Look to local communities as a source of strength, knowledge, and support during the planning and implementation of forest and rangeland management projects.	
Work with local communities while planning forest and rangeland management projects.	
The Services, working with the states, should establish consistent criteria to assess modeling related to projected scientific information, such as climate change, possible genetic distinction between populations, and long-term population viability among other factors in their scientific review. In these circumstances, federal agencies should partner with states and management authorities with expertise over the given model to develop and utilize mutually acceptable predictive techniques and consensus-based metrics that are grounded in science and measurable outcomes.	
Urge federal land management agencies— in coordination with state fish and wildlife agencies — to work with private landowners and local communities to identify monetary and non-monetary incentives to encourage voluntary corridor and habitat conservation efforts.	
Any federal efforts to identify, regulate, or conserve wildlife migration corridors through administrative or legislative action must rely upon coordination and consultation with states and should advance collaborative, locally driven initiatives to conserve key wildlife corridors and habitat.	
Multiple Use	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04,
	Species Conservation and the
Encourage funding and economic incentives for proactive, voluntary conservation to obviate the need to list species under the ESA. Funded and incentivized activities should include management of public lands in a way that supports multiple uses.	Endangered Species Act

Priority	Governors' Policy
Fuels Management and Reduction	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland
Develop tools to support mechanical hazardous fuels reduction, especially the removal of underbrush and understory	Management
Expand the use of spatially complex restoration treatment.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Further integrate invasive species data and management practices into hazard fuels management and planning.	
Provide additional analyses to help communities evaluate the full costs of suppression associated with development in the wildland urban interface.	
State and Local Liaisons	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-03, National Forest and Rangeland
Facilitate the participation of local governments in federal decision making by dedicating staff to develop and provide technical assistance and enhance	Management
communications across local, tribal, state and federal partners.	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04, Species Conservation and the
FWS and NMFS should explore expanded use of detail positions and shared staff between state and federal agencies to increase interagency coordination and familiarity with processes. These types of well-rounded	Endangered Species Act WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> ,
personnel can then more effectively serve as conveners and facilitators for multiagency actions.	Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Land Use Planning, USFS Forest Plans and BLM Resource Management Plans (RMPs)	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-03, National Forest and Rangeland Management
Seek opportunities, including revisions to forest plans, to enhance safety and reduce costs in suppression decisions while protecting communities.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-04</u> , Species Conservation and the
Ensure adequate monitoring, assessment, and analysis of federal forests and rangelands, including data on wildlife, water, soil, and forage.	Endangered Species Act
Further improve the collection of socioeconomic data related to forest and rangeland management decisions, and to further incorporate that data into management decisions.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Provide Governors with sufficient time for a full and complete state review, especially when federal plans affect multiple planning areas or resources.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Align the review of multiple plans affecting the same resource, especially for threatened or endangered species that have vast western ranges.	
Afford Governors the discretion to determine which state plans should be reviewed against federal plans for consistency, including State Wildlife Action Plans, conservation district plans, county plans, and multi-state agreements.	

Priority	Governors' Policy
Maintain Governors' right to appeal any rejection of recommendations resulting from a Governor's consistency review.	
Create a database of federal forest and rangeland management projects, available to states and other collaborators, that includes planned, current, and past projects.	
Local Offices and Workforce Increase workforce capacity for prescribed fire activities, as well as science- based vegetation management activities, oversight and planning. FWS and NMFS should explore expanded use of detail positions and shared staff between state and federal agencies to increase interagency coordination and familiarity with processes. These types of well-rounded personnel can then more effectively serve as conveners and facilitators for multiagency actions.	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship WGA Policy Resolution 2021-03, National Forest and Rangeland Management WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04, Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
Royalties and Leasing Provide states a forum to advise DOI on federal mineral leasing royalty policy (such as through reestablishment of the Royalty Policy Committee). Support legislation, regulatory changes, and agency practices that provide transparency and certainty, ensure fair value for the American public, and more efficiently administer the sales and leases of the resources on these federal lands.	WGA Policy Resolution 2020-02, States' Share of Royalties and Leasing Revenues from Federal Lands and Minerals April 3, 2020 <u>letter</u> to DOI: consider state role in BLM discussion to suspend or reduce oil and gas royalties
<ul> <li>Vegetation Management</li> <li>Apply clear, coordinated and consistent federal vegetation management practices to maintain the health of western forests, prevent dangerous and damaging fires, and maintain grid reliability.</li> <li>Effectively implementation FY 2018 Consolidated Appropriations Act's sections related to vegetation management, in consultation with states and utilities.</li> <li>Pair the Wildfire Hazard Potential index and map with spatial data for use at the community level.</li> <li>Develop training programs on vegetation management decisions relating to electrical transmission and distribution systems.</li> <li>Examine ways to further utilize GNA and SCA to improve vegetation management in the West.</li> <li>Increase workforce capacity for prescribed fire activities, as well as science-based vegetation management activities, oversight and planning.</li> </ul>	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-03, National Forest and Rangeland Management WGA Policy Resolution 2018-04, Energy in the West

Priority	Governors' Policy
Shared Stewardship USFS should continue to support states' efforts to operate within the USDA	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland Management
Shared Stewardship Strategy.	Wanagement
Provide support to states as they implement projects undertaken as part of the USDA Shared Stewardship Strategy and state-level Shared Stewardship agreements.	
Species Conservation	
State Authority / State Wildlife Data Promote early, meaningful consultation with states in the promulgation or development of any rules, regulations, directives, or agency action that affects or influences states' management of fish, wildlife and habitat under their management jurisdiction.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-04</u> , Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal
	Decision Making WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
State Authority / ESA Given the effect ESA listing decisions have on vital state interests, states should be viewed as full partners in all ESA decisions, but particularly when	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04, Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
reviewing and considering the challenges that could be faced by species in the future. States should be full partners in listing, critical habitat designations, recovery	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
planning, recovery efforts, and delisting decisions.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Petitions	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04, Species Conservation and the
Governors support legislative initiatives, court rulings, petitions or regulatory measures which allow local, state, federal and private conservation efforts adequate time to be implemented and demonstrate their efficacy.	Endangered Species Act WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Recovery	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04,
Western Governors believe states should be full partners in listing, critical habitat designations, recovery planning, recovery efforts, and delisting	Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
decisions. The Services, working with the states, should establish consistent criteria to assess modeling related to projected scientific information.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
All listing, recovery and delisting decisions made by the federal government should recognize, consult, and employ the vast number of available state	

Priority	Governors' Policy
resources and utilize objective, peer-reviewed scientific literature, and scientific observations.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Critical Habitat Designations	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04,
Critical habitat designations should be based on analysis that includes data and scientific information from states included in proposed critical habitat.	Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
Use scientific information and analysis from states to inform critical habitat designations.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Engage states as full partners in critical habitat designation, especially when federal agencies intend using long-term modeling and forecasting.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
	October 8, 2020 <u>comments</u> to FWS: Western Governors seek larger role in proposed rule on excluding areas of critical habitat under ESA
Mitigation Policy	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2019-03</u> , Compensatory Mitigation
Develop mitigation requirements and processes that may affect state and private land in coordination with Governors in whose states DOI lands are situated.	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04, Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
Where state compensatory mitigation programs or standards exist, federal agencies should adopt and implement state-supported compensatory mitigation programs and policies.	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Ensure that federal mitigation policy or programs are consistent with existing state mitigation programs or standards where they are in place.	Relationship
Federal agencies should consult with states to provide consistency in the use of and improve assessment criteria for mitigation goals. Further, mitigation goals should establish clear expectations backed by effective assessment criteria.	
Voluntary Conservation	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04,
Incentivize voluntary conservation actions to preclude the need to list species under the ESA.	Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act
Support the provision of economic incentives for landowners to participate in voluntary conservation efforts.	
State Data and Expertise	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04, Species Conservation and the Endangered Species Act

Priority	Governors' Policy
FWS should utilize data and expertise provided by states in conducting	
status reviews and 12-month findings on petitions for ESA listings.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal
Leverage the use of state, tribal, and local expertise and science in federal	Decision Making
environmental review, consultation, and permitting.	
	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01,
Recognize that state agencies often have the best available science on	Strengthening the State-Federal
species and retain primary management jurisdiction over most wildlife on	Relationship
Federal, State and private lands and require that federal agencies utilize	
state data and analyses as a principal source in developing and analyzing	
science serving as the basis of a regulatory action.	
Migration Corridors and Habitat	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-04,
	Species Conservation and the
Federal land management agencies should support state and tribal efforts to	Endangered Species Act
identify key wildlife migration corridors and habitat in the West and engage	
in early and substantive consultation with Governors prior to developing	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01,
policy to manage wildlife corridors and habitat.	Strengthening the State-Federal
	Relationship
Federal land managers should work with private landowners and local	
communities to identify monetary and non-monetary incentives to	
encourage voluntary corridor and habitat conservation.	
DOI and DOT should coordinate on projects under their jurisdiction and	
support intra-state DOT/wildlife agency coordination when appropriate.	
Support proactive planning on public lands that seeks to direct future	
development actions including renewable energy, recreation, and other	
developments away from large tracts of intact wildlife habitat and	
connectivity corridors.	
Any federal efforts to identify, regulate, or conserve wildlife migration	
corridors through administrative or legislative action must rely upon	
coordination and consultation with states and should advance collaborative,	
locally driven initiatives to conserve key wildlife corridors and habitat.	
Governors further encourage Congress and the Administration to support	
collaborative and locally developed initiatives through financial and technical	
assistance.	
DOL and USDA should maintain a financial investor and in manual and	
DOI and USDA should maintain a financial investment in research and	
habitat improvement projects to conserve migration corridors through the	
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation's Improving Habitat Quality in Western Big Game and Migration Corridors Program.	
Water Resources	
Consultation	WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01,
	Strengthening the State-Federal
Promote early, meaningful consultation with states in the promulgation or	Relationship
development of any rules, regulations, directives, or agency action that	
affects or influences states' management or allocation of water resources.	

Priority	Governors' Policy
	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-08</u> , Water Resource Management in the West
Management of Water Resources	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-08</u> , Water Resource Management in
Expressly, unambiguously, and conspicuously recognize states' primary authority over water management and allocation decisions in all new federal laws, rules, regulations, and guidance documents. Respect limits set by Congress and the U.S. Supreme Court for any definition of "Waters of the United States" in the Clean Water Act and recognize the authority of states to manage water within their boundaries.	the West WGA Policy Resolution <u>2018-12</u> , Water Quality in the West WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal
Recognize states' exclusive authority over the allocation and administration of rights to develop groundwater resources and expressly preclude federal agencies from usurping such authority through rulemakings, regulations, guidance documents, or agency directives.	Relationship
<ul> <li>Drought</li> <li>Seventeen Bureau of Reclamation states, Hawaii and Alaska are affected by water shortages because of extended drought conditions and infrastructure to assure adequate water supplies. This challenge has both regional and national aspects, including how best to meet the need for efficient infrastructure financing to maintain and enhance adequate water supplies, avert further economic and environmental harm, and maintain food security. The following policy solutions should be considered:</li> <li>Providing incentives for innovative water management policies among states (with federal, tribal and local partners) that preserve states' primacy in water management, recognize state law, and align with the financial, environmental and social values of water to Western citizens today and in the future;</li> <li>Strengthening federal efforts to maintain adequate collection of drought and water data;</li> <li>Coordinating information programs across multiple agencies, enhancing data networks (where appropriate) and facilitating better use of existing information;</li> <li>Promoting greater investment in water infrastructure through tools such as loan guarantees, revolving funds, infrastructure banks, and water trust funds; and</li> <li>Creating a budget neutral federal loan program that will make it possible for Western states, and other concerned public and private entities in western states, to secure financing on reasonable terms to complete important water resources management projects.</li> </ul>	WGA Policy Resolution 2018-08, Water Resource Management in the West WGA Policy Resolution 2021-02, Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making WGA Policy Resolution 2021-01, Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Federal Water Data Programs Work with states and resource managers to improve predictive and adaptive capabilities for extreme weather variability and related impacts, with a	WGA Policy Resolution 2018-08, Water Resource Management in the West

Priority	Governors' Policy
priority on improving sub-seasonal and seasonal precipitation forecasting capabilities to support water management decision making.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-02</u> , Utilizing State Data in Federal Decision Making
Local Watershed Planning Provide resources such as technical support to states and local watershed groups. States may empower these watershed groups to address local	WGA Policy Resolution 2018-08, Water Resource Management in the West
water issues associated with water quality, growth and land management to complement state water needs.	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-01</u> , Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship
Workforce and Economic Development	
Mining	WGA Policy Resolution 2018-09, National Minerals Policy
Ensure access to the metals that are critical to U.S. economic and national security – providing vital base materials for electronics, telecommunications, satellites, aircraft, manufacturing and alternative energy technologies (particularly wind and solar).	Policy Resolution <u>2018-11</u> , Cleaning Up Abandoned Mines in the West
<ul> <li>Develop a National Minerals Policy that:</li> <li>enables mineral exploration and development in a manner that balances the nation's industrial and security needs with adequate protection of natural resources and the environment (including long-term effects and potential effects);</li> <li>addresses permitting delays, patenting, maintenance fees, an equitable government revenue mechanism; and</li> <li>establishes a clean-up fund and program for reclaiming abandoned hard rock mines.</li> </ul>	
Encourage U.S. Department of the Interior and the U.S. Department of Agriculture to take an active role, working with western states, in the development of a National Minerals Policy that recognizes the importance of a domestic supply of minerals for our country.	
Wood Products	WGA Policy Resolution <u>2021-03</u> , National Forest and Rangeland
Strive to find ways to support and expand critical forest and rangeland management infrastructure, including mills, biomass facilities, and roads.	Management
Find ways to support and expand cost-effective means of supplying restoration projects, such as with large woody material from adjacent overstocked forests.	
Work to ensure that wood product producers have increased certainty of supply, as well as a broader suite of outlets, in addition to traditional sawmills and existing biomass facilities.	



## Policy Resolution 2021-01

# Strengthening the State-Federal Relationship

## A. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 1. Western Governors are proud of their unique role in governing and serving the citizens of this great nation. As the chief elected officials of sovereign states, they bear enormous responsibility and have tremendous opportunity. Moreover, the faithful discharge of their obligations is central to the success of the Great American Experiment.
- 2. It was the states that confederated to form a more perfect union by creating a national government with specific responsibilities for common interests. In this union, the states retained their sovereignty and much of their authority.<sup>1</sup>
- 3. Under the American version of federalism, the powers of the federal government are narrow, enumerated and defined. The powers of the states, on the other hand, are vast and indefinite and encompass all powers of governance not specifically bestowed to the federal government by the U.S. Constitution. This principle is memorialized in the Tenth Amendment, which states: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."
- 4. This reservation of power to the states respects the differences between regions and peoples, recognizes a right to self-determination at a local level, and provides for flexible, tailored solutions to policy challenges. It also requires the federal government to engage with states our nation's dynamic laboratories of democracy on a government-to-government basis befitting their co-sovereign status.
- 5. In addition to states' reserved sovereign authorities, Congress has recognized state authority in federal statute by: (1) directing the federal government to defer to state authority, including such authority over land and water use, education, domestic relations, criminal law, property law, local government, taxation, and fish and wildlife; and (2) delegating federal authority to states, including the regulation of water quality, air quality, and solid and hazardous waste.
- 6. <u>Executive Order 13132</u>, Federalism, reinforces these constitutional, statutory, and judicial principles and directs federal agencies to have an accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely input from state officials in developing policies with federalism implications.
- 7. The relationship between state and federal authority is complex and multi-dimensional. There are various contexts in which these authorities manifest and intersect:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The U.S. Supreme Court has confirmed that, "[d]ual sovereignty is a defining feature of our Nation's constitutional blueprint" and "States entered the Union with their sovereignty intact." *See, e.g., Sossamon v. Texas*, 563 U.S. 277, 283 (2011).

- a) **State Primacy** All powers not specifically delegated to the federal government in the Constitution. In the absence of Constitutional delegation of authority to the federal government, state authority should be presumed sovereign. *Examples: groundwater, wildlife management (outside of the Endangered Species Act), natural resources management, electric transmission siting.*
- b) **Shared State-Federal Authority** Fact patterns in which federal authority and state primacy intersect. *Examples: wild horses and burros on federal lands, interstate water compacts.*
- c) **Federal Authority Delegated to States** Federal authority that Congress has delegated to states by statute. Many such statutes require federal agencies to set federal standards (and ensure those standards are met) but authorize states to implement those standards. *Examples: water and air quality, solid and hazardous waste.*
- d) **Federal Statutory or Other Obligations to States** Where the federal government has a statutory, historical, or moral obligation to states. *Examples: Payments in Lieu of Taxes; Secure Rural Schools Act; shared mineral royalties; agreements to clean up radioactive waste that was generated by federal nuclear weapons production.*
- e) **Exclusive Federal Authority** Powers enumerated in the Constitution as exclusive powers of the federal government. In areas of exclusive federal authority, state law can be preempted if Congress clearly and unambiguously articulates an intent to occupy a given field or to the extent it conflicts with state law. *Examples: national defense, production of money.*
- 8. In contravention of the Founders' design, the balance of power has shifted toward the federal government and away from the states. Increasingly prescriptive regulations tie the hands of states and local governments, dampen innovation, and impair on-the-ground problem-solving. Failures of the federal government to consult with states reflect insufficient appreciation for local knowledge, preferences, and competencies. In many cases, these federal actions encroach on state legal prerogatives, neglect state expertise, and/or infringe on state authority.
- 9. The federal government often requires states to execute policy initiatives without providing the funding necessary for their implementation. State governments cannot function as full partners if the federal government requires them to devote their limited resources to compliance with unfunded federal mandates.
- 10. State authority and autonomy is also eroded when prescribed federal policies become effectively mandatory through the contingency of federal funding streams that states depend on to deliver critical services.
- 11. Too often, federal agencies: solicit input from states after a decision is already made or a public process is started; ask states to provide feedback on a proposed action without providing details or documents regarding what the agency is proposing; or do not respond to state input or incorporate feedback from states into their decisions. This does not afford states with the respect and communication required by law, and states currently have no

recourse for an agency's failure to consult except for litigation on the merits of a federal decision.

- 12. Congress and Executive Order 13132 currently require federal agencies to document the effects of their actions on states in certain circumstances. In practice, federal agencies rarely prepare these prescribed federalism assessments or statements. Even when federal agencies prepare such documents, they are not ordinarily informed by input from affected states. In addition, these documentation requirements only apply at the end of the rulemaking process and cannot substitute for early and meaningful consultation with states.
- 13. Federal agencies have suggested to states that there are legal or other barriers to state consultation, such as: federal agency policies restricting ex parte communications; concerns about the applicability of Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) procedures to meetings between state and federal officials; and issues with sharing information that would otherwise be exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).
- 14. Federal agencies do not adequately incorporate state data and expertise into their decisions. This can result in duplication, inefficiency, and federal decisions that do not reflect on-the-ground conditions. Consideration and incorporation of state, tribal, and local data and analysis will result in federal actions that are better-informed, more effectively coordinated among all levels of government, and tailored to the communities they affect.
- 15. Many of these issues stem from a profound misunderstanding throughout the federal government regarding the role and legal status of states. Over the past several years, Western Governors have worked to improve the federal government's understanding of state sovereignty, authority, and state-federal consultation; meaningful structural change, however, has yet to occur.

### B. <u>GOVERNORS' POLICY STATEMENT</u>

- 1. A good faith partnership between states and the federal government will result in more efficient, economic, effective, and durable policy, benefiting the Governors' and the federal government's shared constituents and resulting in a nation that is stronger, more resilient, and more united.
- 2. Improving state-federal communication and coordination is a goal that transcends party lines, and it is among the Governors' highest priorities. The Governors urge Congress and the Executive Branch to make fundamental changes to realign and improve the state-federal paradigm.

### State Sovereignty and Authority

- 3. States are co-sovereigns with the federal government pursuant to the Tenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other federal law. Congress and federal agencies must recognize state sovereignty and must not conflate states with other entities or units of government. States should not be treated as stakeholders or members of the public.
- 4. State authority is presumed sovereign in the absence of Constitutional delegation of authority to the federal government.

- a) Federal legislative and regulatory actions should be limited to issues of national significance or scope, pursuant to federal constitutional authority. Preemption of state laws should be limited to instances of necessity.
- b) Where Congress preempts state law (acting pursuant to federal constitutional authority), federal law should accommodate state laws, regulations, and policies before its enactment and permit states that have developed alternate standards to continue to enforce and adhere to them.
- c) Federal agencies should construe federal law to preempt state law only when a statute contains an express preemption provision or there is some other compelling evidence that Congress intended to preempt state law.
- 5. Congress and federal agencies should respect the authority of states to determine the allocation of state administrative and financial responsibilities in accordance with state constitutions and statutes. It should further:
  - a) Ensure that federal government monitoring is outcome-oriented;
  - b) Minimize federal reporting requirements; and
  - c) Refrain from dictating state or local government organization.
- 6. When a state is meeting the requirements of a delegated program, the role of a federal agency should be limited to the provision of funding, technical assistance and research support. States should have the maximum discretion to develop implementation and enforcement approaches within their jurisdiction without federal intervention. Federal agencies should recognize and credit states' proactive actions.
- 7. Congress and federal agencies should avoid imposing unfunded federal mandates on states. In addition:
  - a) Federal assistance funds, including funds that will be passed through to local governments, should flow through states according to state laws and procedures;
  - b) States should have the flexibility to transfer a limited amount of funds from one grant program to another and to coordinate the administration of related grants;
  - c) Federal funds should provide maximum state flexibility without specific set-asides; and
  - d) Governors should have the authority to require coordination among state executive branch agencies, or between levels or units of government, as a condition of the allocation or pass-through of funds.
- 8. Congress and the Executive Branch should create or re-establish entities to discuss and act on federalism issues, such as the Speaker's Task Force on Intergovernmental Affairs, the U.S. Advisory Committee on Intergovernmental Relations, the Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Affairs, or a federalism office within the White House. These entities should have the ability and resources to make recommendations to improve the state-

federal relationship and include states in their membership or actively involve states in their discussions.

#### State-Federal Consultation

- 9. Federal agencies must engage in consultation with states on a government-to-government basis in accordance with states' legal status. Congress should clarify and promote the need for state-federal consultation.
- 10. Improving state-federal consultation will result in more effective, efficient, and long-lasting federal policy for the following reasons:
  - a) Governors have specialized knowledge of their states' environments, resources, laws, cultures, and economies that is essential to informed federal decision-making;
  - b) Federal agencies can reduce duplication through the use and incorporation of state expertise, data and documentation;
  - c) Authentic communication and information exchange will help federal agencies determine whether an issue is best addressed at the federal level; and
  - d) Through meaningful dialogues with affected states, federal agencies can also avoid unintended consequences and address or resolve state concerns.
- 11. Each Executive department and agency should have a clear and accountable process to provide each state through its Governor or their designees with early, meaningful, substantive, and ongoing consultation in the development of federal policies that affect states. The extent of the consultation process should be determined by engaging with affected states. At a minimum, this process must involve:
  - a) Conducting consultation through federal representatives who can speak or act on behalf of an agency;
  - b) Inviting states to provide input outside of a public process and before proposals are finalized;
  - c) Enabling states to engage with federal agencies on an ongoing basis to seek refinements to proposed federal actions prior to finalization;
  - d) Providing robust information and documents (including non-final, non-public, draft, and supporting documents) about potential federal actions, including proposed rules, to Governors or their designees;
  - e) Addressing or resolving, where possible, state issues, concerns, or other input unless precluded by law;
  - f) Documenting how state concerns were resolved or why they were unable to be resolved in final decisions; and

- g) Making reasonable efforts to achieve consistency and avoid conflicts between federal and state objectives, plans, policies, and programs.
- 12. Governors affirm their reciprocal role in advancing a clear, predictable, timely, and accountable consultation process. Governors or their designees must continue to provide clear expectations for the appropriate scope and scale of consultation and must work with federal agencies to make consultation processes as efficient as practicable. As chief executives, Governors must also ensure the views of the state are clearly and consistently conveyed throughout the consultation process by prioritizing significant issues and resolving competing viewpoints across state government.
- 13. In many cases, federal agencies are required whether by statute, executive order, regulation, policy, or other mandate to consult, cooperate, and coordinate with states before taking action. However, due to states' unique legal status, the need for federal-state engagement is not limited to express directives and should extend to any federal actions that may have direct effects on states, on the relationship between the federal government and states, or on the distribution of power or responsibilities among the various levels of government. Federal agencies should consult with states regarding what types of agency actions typically affect states and the extent of consultation required for these types of actions.
  - a) These actions include the implementation of federal statutes and the development, prioritization, and implementation of agency policies, rules, programs, reviews (e.g., Governor's Consistency Reviews), plans (e.g., resource management plans), budget proposals and processes, strategic planning efforts (e.g., reorganization), and federal litigation or adjudication that affects states.
  - b) When a federal agency proposes to enter into any agreement or settlement that affects states, the agency should provide all affected Governors or their designees with notice of the proposal and consult with, and seek the concurrence of, Governors or their designees who respond to the notice.
- 14. Congress and the Executive Branch should require federal agencies to promulgate regulations in consultation with Governors, setting forth their procedures to ensure meaningful, substantive consultation with states on federal actions that affect states. This direction should also clarify that, for rulemakings affecting states:
  - a) An agency's satisfaction of rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act (including the solicitation of public comments) does not satisfy an agency's obligation to consult with states; and
  - b) Consultation should occur before publication of a notice of proposed rulemaking or before an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking is submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).
- 15. Congress and the Executive Branch should consider the following additional accountability measures:

- a) Requiring the designation of a federalism official with the responsibility for implementing state-federal consultation and publish this official's name, title, and contact information on the agency's website;
- b) Requiring OMB to regularly submit a report to Congress and Governors on statefederal consultation and implementation of agency consultation rules;
- c) Requiring federal agencies to provide a summary of their efforts to consult with states, including a discussion of state input and how that input was considered or addressed, in any proposed and final rules;
- d) Creating a process where Governors can notify OMB of an agency's failure to consult or comply with their consultation procedures; and
- e) Providing an opportunity for Governors or their designees to seek judicial review of an agency's failure to consult.
- 16. Congress and the Executive Branch could make federalism reviews more effective by:
  - a) Working with Governors to develop specific criteria and consultation processes for initiating and performing these reviews.
  - b) Providing Governors with an opportunity to comment on federalism assessments before any covered federal action is submitted to OMB for approval.
- 17. Congress and federal agencies should take the following actions to clarify that *ex parte* policies, FACA, and FOIA are not barriers to consultation:
  - a) Federal agencies should (and Congress should require them to) clearly identify and provide rationale for any perceived barriers to consultation;
  - b) Federal agencies should clarify that consultation with state officials does not qualify as *ex parte* communications and that *ex parte* communications are not prohibited at any point during an informal rulemaking process;
  - c) Congress should clarify that meetings held exclusively between federal personnel and state elected officials or their designees acting in their official capacities or in areas of shared responsibilities or administration (and not for the purpose of obtaining collective advice) do not qualify as requiring compliance with FACA procedures; and
  - d) Congress should clarify that FOIA's exemptions apply to federal records shared or exchanged with states (as if those records were shared, exchanged, or created solely within the federal government) and create a statutory exemption to FOIA disclosure for state records in instances where publication of state records provided to federal agencies would violate existing state law.

#### State Data and Expertise

- 18. Federal agencies should utilize state data, expertise, and science in the development of federal actions that affect states.
- 19. Congress and the Executive Branch should, subject to existing state requirements for data protection and transparency, require agencies to incorporate state and local data and expertise into their decisions. This data should include scientific, technical, economic, social, and other information on the issue the agency is trying to address.
- 20. States merit greater representation on all relevant committees and panels advising federal agencies on scientific, technological, social, and economic issues that inform federal regulatory processes.

### C. <u>GOVERNORS' MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE</u>

- 1. The Governors direct WGA staff to work with congressional committees of jurisdiction, the Executive Branch, and other entities, where appropriate, to achieve the objectives of this resolution.
- 2. Furthermore, the Governors direct WGA staff to consult with the Staff Advisory Council regarding its efforts to realize the objectives of this resolution and to keep the Governors apprised of its progress in this regard.

This resolution will expire in December 2023. Western Governors enact new policy resolutions and amend existing resolutions on a semiannual basis. Please consult <u>westgov.org/resolutions</u> for the most current copy of a resolution and a list of all current WGA policy resolutions.